Introduction

Exposure to environmental hazards including ionizing radiation, dietary factors, drugs and herbicides amongst others has urge scientists to find natural substance to protect human health. Radiation-related disorders are one of the challenging current health problems with far-reaching medical, social and economic consequences. Exposure to ionizing radiation has become inevitable since besides natural sources (cosmic rays and radioactive elements in the earth's crust) the rapid technological advancement in the field of medicine (radiotherapy and radio diagnosis), agriculture, industries, accidental and occupational exposures has increased the risk of exposure to ionizing radiation.

Paraquat (PQ) (1,1-dimethyl-4, 4- bipyridinium dichloride), is a foliar-applied and non-selective bipyridinium herbicide, and one of the most widely used in controlling weeds in a huge variety of crops. PQ, another risk to human health is highly toxic not only to plants, but also to farm animals and man (Ahmed, 2010; Abd El Kader, 2006). Paraquat enters the body mainly by swallowing, or through damaged skin, but may also be inhaled. Thousands of deaths have occurred from ingestion (often suicide) or dermal exposure (mainly occupational). Paraquat is corrosive to the skin and once the skin is damaged it is easily absorbed into the body. Owing to its local accumulation, the lung is the primary site of its toxic effects (He et al., 2012). Furthermore, chronic exposure to PQ was reported to be associated with liver damage, kidney failure, and Parkinsonian lesions in addition to fibrosis (Tanner et al., 2011).

Drug exposure, ionizing radiations and environmental pro-oxidant pollutants induce free radical formation. These reactive species play a dual role in human as both toxic and beneficial compounds. At low or moderate levels, reactive species exert beneficial effects on cellular redox signaling and immune function, but at high concentrations, they produce oxidative stress, a harmful process that can damage cell function, structures and has been implicated in a number of pathological situations. The deleterious effects of these free radicals are usually repaired before mutation by two important pathways: the body's natural defense system and antioxidants consumed in the diet. Efficient defense and repair mechanisms exist in living cells to protect against oxidant species. However, under abnormal conditions...
such as exposure to ionizing radiation, the antioxidant defense system is not full operative (Sen et al., 2010).

Flavonoids are polyphenolic compounds present in all foods of plant origin. They have various effects on mammalian cellular systems and structures, and have been shown to protect biological membranes against free radical-induced oxidative damage (Jain et al., 2011). Hesperidin (HDN) is a flavanone glycoside abundantly found in sweet orange and lemon and is an inexpensive by-product of citrus cultivation (Manach et al., 2003). HDN is effectively used as a supplemental agent in the treatment protocols of complementary settings. Its deficiency has been linked to abnormal capillary leakiness as well as pain in the extremities causing aches, weakness and night leg cramps. Supplemental hesperidin also helps in reducing oedema or excess swelling in the legs due to fluid accumulation (Akiyama et al., 2010). HDN was reported to possess anticancer (Alshatwi et al., 2013), health promoting effects (Li and Schluesener, 2015) and to protect against radiation-induced toxicity (Kuntic et al., 2014).

The present study was designed to investigate the role of HDN against γ-radiation and/or paraquat induced-biochemical, hematological and histological changes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental animals
Male albino rats Sprague Dawley (8 weeks old and 180±20 g body weight), were purchased from the Egyptian Holding Company for Biological Products and Vaccines (Helwan, Cairo, Egypt). The animals were housed under standard laboratory conditions (constant temperature 25-27°C, with 12 h light/dark cycle) during the experimental period. The rats were provided with tap water and commercial diets. Experimental animals were acclimatized to laboratory conditions for 10 days before commencement of the experiment. Drinking water and food were provided ad libitum throughout the study. The animal care and handling was done according to the guidelines set by the World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland and according to approval from the ethics committee for animals care at the National Research Centre, (ethic No.10-230).

Radiation treatment
Irradiation was carried out using a Canadian Gamma Cell-40 (137Cs), located at the National Center for Radiation Research and Technology (NCRRT), Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt. The animal’s whole body was exposed to gamma rays and received 6Gy administered at 2Gy/week at a dose rate 0.5Gy/minute.

Paraquat treatment
Paraquat purchased from Gramoxone, Syngenta Crop Protection was diluted in water and administrated daily by gavages to rats at a dose of 20.8 mg/kg body weight for 3 weeks (Abo-Shafy et al., 1997).

Hesperidin treatment
Hesperidin purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company, St. Louis, MO, USA was suspended in distilled water and administered daily to rats by gavages at a dose of 40 mg/kg body weight (Hosseinimehr and Nemati, 2006) for 3 weeks. Hesperidin was prepared freshly just before its administration daily.

Experimental design
Animals were divided into 8 groups of 6 rats each: Group 1 (Control): Normal healthy rats did not receive any treatment. Group 2 (HDN): Rats given hesperidin daily via gavages (40mg/kg bwt/day) for 3 weeks. Group 3 (IR): Rats whole body gamma irradiated with 6Gy administered at 2Gy/week. Group 4 (HDN+IR): Rats given hesperidin daily during the irradiation period. Group 5 (PQ): Rats given paraquat daily via gavages (20.8mg/kg bwt/day) for 3 weeks. Group 6 (HDN+PQ): Rats given hesperidin simultaneously with paraquat during 3 weeks. Group 7 (IR+PQ): Rats given paraquat daily via gavages through the irradiation period. Group 8 (HDN+IR+PQ): Rats given hesperidin and paraquat simultaneously through the irradiation period.

Biochemical analysis
Rats were sacrificed on the 21st day. Rats were anaesthetized with light ether and blood samples obtained via heart puncture by sterilized syringe. A part of the blood was taken on disodium ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) and another part was centrifuged at 1000g for 15 min using a refrigerated centrifuge K3 Centurion Scientific Ltd, London, UK to obtain serum. Lung and dorsal aorta were rapidly excised and a 10% homogenates were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min and aliquots of supernatants were separated for use in the further analysis.

The lipid profile indices were determined according to standard methods. The tests included triglycerides, total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol content (HDL-c) and were determined according to Fossati and Principe (1982); Allain et al. (1974) and Demacker et al. (1980), respectively using a UV/VIS T60 UV/VIS spectrophotometer, PG instruments, London, UK. Low density lipoprotein-cholesterol content (LDL-
C) was determined according to the equation LDL-C= TC- (HDL-C + TG/5) (Friedewald et al., 1972).

Oxidative stress markers included determination of lipid peroxidation and reduced glutathione (GSH) content. Lipid peroxidation in the blood, lung and dorsal aorta was assayed as described by Yoshioka et al. (1979) based on the determination of malondialdehyde (MDA) an end product of lipid peroxidation, which can react with thiobarbituric acid in acidic medium to yield a pink colored trimethine complex exhibiting an absorption maximum at 532 nm. Reduced glutathione (GSH) content was determined according to Beutler et al. (1963) based on the reaction of SH-groups with Ellman’s reagent 5, 5′-dithiobis-2-nitro-benzoic acid to form a stable yellow color, which can be measured at 412 nm.

Assessment of hematology profile was achieved using Automated Hematology Analyzer-Model MEK-6420K, Nihon Kohden Co. Japan. Hematological indicators including erythrocyte (RBC), leukocyte (WBCs) and platelets count, hemoglobin concentration (Hb), hematocrit (Hct%), mean erythrocyte hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC), and mean erythrocyte volume (MCV) were determined according to the method of Dacie and Lewis (2001).

**Histological investigations**

Samples of lung and dorsal aorta were fixed in Bouin’s solution for 24 h and embedded in paraffin. Samples were serially sectioned at a thickness of 4-5 μm using microtome (SLEE MAINZ-Cut 4050Microtome-Lise-Meitner-Str-1-55129-Germany) and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) (Conn et al., 1960). Tissue sections were examined under light microscope (Olympus-Laboratory Binocular (LED) Microscope-Model: CX23- Japan) at a magnification 400x.

**Statistical analysis**

The results are presented as Mean ± Standard Error (SE) (n=6). Data were analyzed using ANOVA (one-way classification F-test) followed by Duncan (Multiple Range-test). Values were considered significant at P<0.05.

**RESULTS**

Biochemical analysis revealed that administration of HDN (40mg/kg bwt/day) by gavages daily during 3 weeks had no significant effect on lipid profile, hematology profile unless a significant decrease in MCH and MCV. The level of MDA and GSH in blood, lung and dorsal aorta showed normal values (Tables I, II and III). No histopathological changes were observed in lung tissues and dorsal aorta (Figs. 1, 2).

The results showed also that exposure to IR and/or PQ alter lipid profile and hematology profile (Tables I and II). Meanwhile, HDN treatment reduced the increase of total cholesterol, triglycerides, and LDL-c while elevates HDL-c (Table I). Moreover, HDN adjusted WBC, RBC and Plt counts, and corrected the variations in Hb level, Hct%, MCH, MCHC and MCV (Table II).

It is observed also that exposure to IR and/or PQ significantly increased malondialdehyde (MDA) while decreased glutathione content (GSH) in the blood, lung and dorsal aorta indicating oxidative stress and increased lipid peroxidation. HDN treatment has significantly attenuated lipid peroxidation evidenced by a lower level of MDA and increased GSH content regarding respective values in rats not receiving HDN (Table III).

Examination of control lung sections showed normal architecture appearing as normal bronchial tree (bronchus, bronchioles, alveolar ducts and alveoli) and inter-alveolar septa. Bronchioles consist of mucosa, a muscle layer and a connective tissue layer. Mucosa appears as simple columnar ciliated epithelium with goblet cells which disappears as the bronchioles become smaller in diameter. The epithelium rests on a lamina propria, a continuous well developed muscle layer and a thin layer of connective tissue (Fig. 1A).

Examination of control dorsal aorta sections show the wall composed of three tunics: an inner endothelial lining (tunica intima), a sub-endothelial layer (tunica media), and an outer coat (tunica adventitia) (Fig. 2A). HDN treatment had not induced any damage to lung and dorsal aorta structure (Fig. 1B and 2B).

Exposure to IR/and or PQ induced degenerative changes in the bronchiolar epithelium identified by the presence of fragments of cells and small dark nuclei, thickened edematous bronchiolar walls infiltrated with round cells, some small muscular arterioles showed medial hypertrophy in the presence of focal alveolar emphysema (Fig. 1C, E, F). Examination of dorsal aorta sections in rats exposed to IR/and or PQ showed damaged endothelial cells (Fig. 2C, E, F). Meanwhile exposure to IR together with PQ accentuated the degenerative changes in both tissues. HDN treatment improved tissue injury resulting from exposure to IR/and or PQ (Figs. 1, 2d, F, H).

**DISCUSSION**

Excess of free radicals and oxidative damage has been reported to be one of the mechanisms underlying radiation toxicity (Panganiban et al., 2013) as well as paraquat (PQ) toxicity (Meng et al., 2013; Hafez, 2009).
Table I. Influence of hesperidin (HDN) on serum lipid profile in different rat groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rat groups</th>
<th>Cholesterol (mg/dl)</th>
<th>Triglycerides (mg/dl)</th>
<th>LDL-c (mg/dl)</th>
<th>HDL-c (mg/dl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>154±1.4</td>
<td>265±1.7</td>
<td>74±0.6</td>
<td>28±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDN</td>
<td>159±1.6</td>
<td>273±2.8</td>
<td>80±0.7</td>
<td>24±0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>268±2.7</td>
<td>351±3.6</td>
<td>170±1.6</td>
<td>22±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDN+IR</td>
<td>199±1.9</td>
<td>344±4.1</td>
<td>105±1.0</td>
<td>25±1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ</td>
<td>201±2.2</td>
<td>296±3.0</td>
<td>110±0.9</td>
<td>32±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDN+PQ</td>
<td>187±2.1</td>
<td>286±2.1</td>
<td>93±0.9</td>
<td>37±1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ+IR</td>
<td>283±1.4</td>
<td>363±3.3</td>
<td>181±1.7</td>
<td>30±0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDN+PQ+IR</td>
<td>260±2.4</td>
<td>291±3.3</td>
<td>163±1.0</td>
<td>39±1.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IR, γ irradiated; HDN, hesperidin; PQ, paraquat.
Each value represents Mean ± Standard Error (n=6).
a: significance vs control and b: significance vs respective IR, PQ, PQ+IR not receiving HDN at P<0.05

Table II. Influence of hesperidin (HDN) on hematology profile in different rat groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rat groups</th>
<th>WBCs 10³/µL</th>
<th>RBCs 10⁶/µL</th>
<th>Hb (g/dL)</th>
<th>Plt 10⁶/µL</th>
<th>Hct %</th>
<th>MCH (pg) Hb/RBC</th>
<th>MCHC (g/dL) Hb/Hct</th>
<th>MCV (FL) Hct/RBC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>7.9±0.11</td>
<td>5.6±0.09</td>
<td>14±0.6</td>
<td>310±3</td>
<td>43±1.2</td>
<td>25±0.8</td>
<td>33±1.3</td>
<td>77±1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDN</td>
<td>8.4±0.19</td>
<td>6.6±0.07</td>
<td>13±0.5</td>
<td>286±5</td>
<td>38±1.4</td>
<td>20±0.3</td>
<td>34±0.9</td>
<td>58±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>3.9±0.08</td>
<td>7.3±0.31</td>
<td>20±0.9</td>
<td>145±4</td>
<td>81±2.1</td>
<td>27±0.9</td>
<td>25±1.1</td>
<td>11±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDN+IR</td>
<td>5.2±0.10ab</td>
<td>6.2±0.28b</td>
<td>14±0.4</td>
<td>209±4</td>
<td>39±0.7b</td>
<td>23±0.4</td>
<td>36±1.6</td>
<td>63±1.0ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ</td>
<td>2.9±0.03ab</td>
<td>4.5±0.19</td>
<td>11±0.1</td>
<td>538±6</td>
<td>31±1.1</td>
<td>24±0.6</td>
<td>35±1.4</td>
<td>69±1.2ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDN+PQ</td>
<td>5.8±0.20ab</td>
<td>5.9±0.12b</td>
<td>13±0.4</td>
<td>475±5</td>
<td>34±1.6a</td>
<td>22±0.2</td>
<td>38±1.1</td>
<td>58±1.4ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ+IR</td>
<td>2.0±0.04ab</td>
<td>3.9±0.15</td>
<td>12±0.7</td>
<td>162±2</td>
<td>35±1.5a</td>
<td>31±0.1a</td>
<td>34±1.2</td>
<td>90±1.5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDN+PQ+IR</td>
<td>6.2±0.28ab</td>
<td>5.9±0.18b</td>
<td>12±0.5</td>
<td>291±4</td>
<td>34±1.1a</td>
<td>20±1.0ab</td>
<td>35±1.3</td>
<td>58±2.4ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value represents Mean ± Standard Error (n=6).
a: significance vs control and b: significance vs respective IR, PQ, PQ+IR not receiving HDN at P<0.05

Table III. Influence of hesperidin (HDN) on malondialdehyde (MDA) and glutathione (GSH) level in blood, lung and dorsal aorta of different rat groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rat groups</th>
<th>Blood MDA nmol/ml</th>
<th>Blood GSH mg/dl</th>
<th>Lung MDA nmol/g tissue</th>
<th>Lung GSH mg/g tissue</th>
<th>Dorsal aorta MDA nmol/g tissue</th>
<th>Dorsal aorta GSH mg/g tissue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>160±1.8</td>
<td>56±1.2</td>
<td>150±2.4</td>
<td>22±1.1</td>
<td>130±1.9</td>
<td>148±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDN</td>
<td>161±1.9</td>
<td>55±1.6</td>
<td>145±2.0</td>
<td>21±1.1</td>
<td>126±1.8</td>
<td>152±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>288±2.1a</td>
<td>51±1.0a</td>
<td>300±2.5a</td>
<td>16±0.8a</td>
<td>158±2.1a</td>
<td>124±1.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDN+IR</td>
<td>164±2.1b</td>
<td>54±0.9b</td>
<td>200±3.0ab</td>
<td>21±1.0b</td>
<td>138±1.0b</td>
<td>146±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ</td>
<td>196±1.9a</td>
<td>40±0.8a</td>
<td>312±4.5a</td>
<td>15±0.9a</td>
<td>160±2.0a</td>
<td>117±1.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDN+PQ</td>
<td>168±1.6b</td>
<td>53±0.7b</td>
<td>250±4.0ab</td>
<td>20±1.2b</td>
<td>128±1.9b</td>
<td>152±2.1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR+PQ</td>
<td>297±2.2a</td>
<td>38±0.5a</td>
<td>330±2.5a</td>
<td>14±0.8a</td>
<td>165±2.0a</td>
<td>101±1.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDN+IR+PQ</td>
<td>205±2.0ab</td>
<td>55±0.9b</td>
<td>260±3.0ab</td>
<td>20±0.7b</td>
<td>132±1.9b</td>
<td>148±2.0b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value represents Mean ± Standard Error (n=6).
a: significance vs control and b: significance vs respective IR, PQ, PQ+IR not receiving HDN at P<0.05
Ionizing radiation is known to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) in irradiated tissue. Because most tissues contain 80% water, the major radiation damage is due to the aqueous free radicals, generated by the action of radiation on water. Radiation damage is caused by the overproduction of ROS including superoxide anion (O$_2^-$), hydroxyl radical (•OH), and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) that overwhelm the level of antioxidants resulting in oxidative stress (Saada et al., 2014), histopathological changes (Saada et al., 2010; Ussama et al., 2014; Hamza, and El-Shennawy, 2016) and metabolic disturbances (Saada et al., 2009). In addition, radiation induces an inflammatory response which appears to be a key event in the development of the acute radiation syndrome (Van der Meeren et al., 2005).

The mechanism of PQ-induced toxicity is essentially due to its redox cycle: PQ is reduced enzymatically, mainly by NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase (Clejan and Cederbaum 1989) and NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase (complex I) (Fukushima et al., 1993), to form a PQ mono cation free radical with the subsequent generation of the superoxide radical (O$_2^•$) (Dicker and Cederbaum, 1991). This then begins the well-known cascade leading to the production of other reactive oxygen species (ROS), mainly hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and hydroxyl radical (HO).
Lipids are a major target for free radicals and an abnormal lipid profile has been established as a risk factor of coronary heart disease. In the current study, exposure to IR and/or PQ alters lipid profile evidenced by a significant increase of total cholesterol, triglyceride and LDL-c with variable changes for HDL-c relative to their respective control values. The increase of cholesterol might be attributed to lipid peroxidation causing damage to the LDL-receptor that mediates the endocytosis of LDL-c as a result, clearance of LDL-c from the circulation decreases, causing elevated blood cholesterol (Gent and Braakman, 2004). The elevated level of triglycerides is probably the consequence of inhibition of lipoprotein lipase activity or other inflammatory products that modify triglycerides metabolism indirectly (Ashry et al., 2010; Abd El-Gawad and Aiad, 2008). HDN treatment within the period of exposure to IR and/or PQ has attenuated hyperlipidemia supporting that HDN possesses a hypolipidemic effect (Pari et al., 2015; Akiyama et al., 2010) and reduces serum cholesterol and triglycerides levels (Ashry et al., 2010; Park et al., 2001).

Measurement of variations in hematology profile is an important part in evaluating health status because the hematopoietic system is highly sensitive to free radicals (Cockerham and Kelman, 1988). In addition, blood cells are particularly susceptible to oxidative damage because their membranes are rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids (Meydani et al., 1995). In the current study, exposure to IR and/or PQ induced variable changes in WBC, RBC, Plt, Hb, Hct%, MCH, MCHC and MCV probably resulting from oxidative stress substantiated by the significant increase of blood MDA and decreased GSH.

Exposure of rats to IR and/or PQ induced oxidative stress (elevated MDA and decreased GSH) associated with histopathological changes in the lung and dorsal aorta which were aggravated by the combined exposure to IR+PQ. The results corroborate previous findings that free radicals interact with the polyunsaturated fatty acids present in the phospholipid portion of cell membrane (Spitz et al., 2004) provoking tissue injury (Soliman,
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Moreover, lipid peroxidation is an autocatalytic chain reaction and many of its products, are themselves very harmful to the cells (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 2004). The decrease of GSH might be due to its utilization to neutralize the excess of free radicals (Roy et al., 2006).

It is well documented that antioxidants play an important role in mitigating the damaging effects of oxidative stress on tissues. In the current study, HDN treatment during exposure to IR and/or PQ has significantly decreased lipid peroxidation, and increased GSH in blood, lung and dorsal aorta. The decrease of oxidative stress in blood was associated with amelioration of the hematological profile. In the same way decreased oxidative stress in lung and dorsal aorta was accompanied by improvement of the histopathological changes in both tissues. The modulator role of HDN could be attributed to its ability to alleviate inflammation (Parhiz et al., 2015) by interfering with arachidonic acid metabolism, histamine release and by inhibiting lipoxygenase, cyclooxygenase and xanthine oxidase (Berkarda et al., 1998). In addition, HDN possesses antioxidant (Kalpana et al., 2011) and metal chelating ability thereby inhibiting the superoxide derived Fenton reaction (Malesev and Kuntu, 2007). The free radical scavenging ability (Jain et al., 2011) attributed to the presence of sulphhydryl groups which react with free radicals mainly peroxynitrite (Kim et al., 2004; Gaur et al., 2011) and hydroxyl radical (Pradeep et al., 2012) thereby contributing significantly to the intracellular antioxidant defense system (Gandhi et al., 2009).

CONCLUSION

According to the results obtained in the current study, it is concluded that hesperidin could be a useful adjunct to attenuate biochemical, hematological and histological damage induced by the over exposure to free radicals.
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